REMARKS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claim 3 was previously cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the

subject matter contained therein. Claims 1, 2, and 4-10 are pending, none of which is

amended. Claims 1, 6, and 10 are independent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to

reconsider the rejections in view of the remarks set forth herein.

Request for Reconsideration / Request for Withdrawal of Finality of Rejection

At the outset, the Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as previously

submitted in the Amendment dated January 17, 2007 automatically place the application in

condition for allowance. See arguments below.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the Final Rejection

and that this Reply be entered into the Official File.

In the alternative, if the Examiner does not agree that this application is in condition

for allowance, it is respectfully requested that this Reply be entered for the purpose of

appeal. This Reply was not presented at an earlier date in view of the fact that the Examiner

has just now cited new grounds for rejection in this Final Office Action.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

Claims 1-3 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that the original specification does not disclose "without overlap"

of opposite side edges thereof", as recited in claims 1-3 and 10.

The limitation added to each independent claim, that is, 'the inner wrapper of the

cigarette being without overlap of opposite side edges thereof' is sufficiently supported by

the clause "while opposite side edges of the inner wrapper 6 are not overlapped" in page 5.

line 23-24, of the specification of originally filed.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, as previously presented, are fully

supported by and adequately described in the written description of the invention.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 4, and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Noe et al. (U.S. 5,494,055) in view of Miyauchi (U.S. 2002/0074007);

claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Noe et al.

and Miyauchi, and further in view of Baker et al. (U.S. 4,624,268);

claims 6-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Noe et al. and Miyauchi, and further in view of W.B. Lowman (U.S. 2,999,520); and

claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Noe et al.,

Miyauchi, and W. B. Lowman, and further in view of Marchese et al. (U.S. 2,320,702) and

Eckstein et al. (U.S. 2001/0009938-A1).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

In contrast to the present invention, Noe et al. disclose a two-layered cigarette rod

covering and the aroma mixture is applied onto the outer or inner layer of the rod covering.

However, the Noe et al. document neither discloses nor suggests that the aroma

mixture is sandwiched between the outer and inner layers and forms a layer that covers the

entire outer circumferential surface of the inner layer.

Also, Noe et al. neither disclose nor suggest that the inner layer of the rod covering

wraps the tobacco rod without overlapping its opposite side edges.

Miyauchi et al. disclose a method of fixing to the tobacco wrapper paper a flavorant

that improves the smell of the side stream smoke of tobacco, and more specifically, teaches

that the opposite side edges of the tobacco wrapper paper are bonded to each other with seam

adhesive containing the flavorant (see [0016]).

The Applicants submit that when Miyauchi et al.'s technology is applied to the rod

covering of Noe et al., a rod covering is provided in which the opposite side edges of the

outer layer and/or the inner layer are bonded to each other with seam adhesive containing the

flavorant.

However, the rod covering thus provided does not have the perfume emitting layer of

the present invention. Therefore, it does not correspond to the Applicants' rod covering that

has a triple structure including the inner and outer wrappers and the perfume emitting layer.

In this respect, the perfume emitting layer described in the present application is

capable of containing a large quantity of perfume material, as compared to the seam adhesive

of Noe et al. or Miyauchi et al.. Consequently, the perfume emitting layer exerts significant

performance when weakening the odor of the sidestream smoke of cigarettes.

As mentioned above, with the present invention, the opposite side edges of the inner

wrapper are not overlapped to each other. Therefore, the outer wrapper does not interfere

with the side edges of the inner wrapper and smoothly wraps the rod-shaped filler with the

inner wrapper interposed between the outer wrapper and filler. Therefore, the seam of the

cigarette, which is formed of the side edges of the outer wrapper, does not protrude far from

the outer circumferential surface of the cigarette. The double wrapper cigarette of the

invention is then similar to common cigarettes in appearance.

On the other hand, when the rod covering of Noe et al., namely the outer and inner

layers, wraps the tobacco rod at the same time, the seam formed of side edges of the outer

and inner layers has a structure in which the side edges of the inner layer and those of the

outer layer are alternately superimposed in layers. Accordingly, the outer side edge of the

outer layer located in the outermost position is superimposed upon the inner side edge of the

outer layer with the outer side edge of the inner layer interposed between them. This means

that the opposite side edges of the outer layer are not brought into direct contact to each

other.

Consequently, in order to bond the opposite side edges of the outer layer through the

outer side edge of the inner layer, it is necessary to apply adhesive onto both the inner and

the outer surfaces of the outer side edge of the inner layer. Such adhesive application

complicates the cigarette manufacturing process.

Application No. 10/771,328
Reply dated July 12, 2007
Reply to Office Action of April 19, 2007

Docket No. 1131-0500P Art Unit: 1731 Page 11 of 12

According to the Applicants' invention, however, the opposite side edges of the inner wrapper are not overlapped to each other, so there is no such problem as mentioned above.

At least for the reasons explained above, the Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of elements as set forth in each of independent claims 1, 6, and 10 is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record, including Noe et al. and Miyauchi.

Therefore, independent claims 1, 6, and 10 are in condition for allowance.

Dependent Claims

All dependent claims are in condition for allowance due to their dependency from allowable independent claims, or due to the additional novel features set forth therein.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/771,328
Reply dated July 12, 2007
Reply to Office Action of April 19, 2007

Docket No. 1131-0500P Art Unit: 1731 Page 12 of 12

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and that the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786) at (703) 208-4030 (direct line).

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly extension of time fees.

Dated: July 12, 2007

Respectfully submitted, BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By

James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000